

Choice of loco-regional anesthetic technique affects operating room efficiency for carpal tunnel release

Edward R. Mariano · Megan K. Lehr ·
Vanessa J. Loland · Michael L. Bishop

Received: 11 July 2012 / Accepted: 11 February 2013 / Published online: 5 March 2013
© Japanese Society of Anesthesiologists(outside the USA) 2013

Abstract Intravenous regional anesthesia (Bier block) is indicated for minor procedures such as carpal tunnel release but must be performed in the operating room. We hypothesize that preoperative peripheral nerve blocks decrease anesthesia-controlled time compared to Bier block for carpal tunnel release. With IRB approval, we reviewed surgical case data from a tertiary care university hospital outpatient surgery center for 1 year. Unilateral carpal tunnel release cases were grouped by anesthetic technique: (1) preoperative nerve blocks, or (2) Bier block. The primary outcome was anesthesia-controlled time (minutes). Secondary outcomes included surgical time and time for nerve block performance in minutes, when applicable. Eighty-nine cases met criteria for analysis (40

nerve block and 49 Bier block). Anesthesia-controlled time [median (10th–90th percentiles)] was shorter for the nerve block group compared to Bier block [11 (6–18) vs. 13 (9–20) min, respectively; $p = 0.02$). Surgical time was also shorter for the nerve block group vs. the Bier block group [13 (8–21) and 17 (10–29) min, respectively; $p < 0.01$], but nerve blocks took 10 (5–28) min to perform. Ultrasound-guided nerve blocks performed preoperatively reduce anesthesia-controlled time compared to Bier block and may be a useful anesthetic modality in some practice environments.

Keywords Ultrasound · Anesthesia · Conduction · Nerve block · Bier block · Operating room efficiency

Congress Presentation(s): 35th Annual Regional Anesthesia Meeting and Workshops (Toronto, ON, Canada, April 22–25, 2010).

E. R. Mariano (✉)
Department of Anesthesia, Anesthesiology and Perioperative
Care Service, VA Palo Alto Health Care System, 3801 Miranda
Avenue (112A), Palo Alto, CA 94304, USA
e-mail: emariano@stanford.edu

E. R. Mariano
Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA, USA

M. K. Lehr
United States Air Force, Biloxi, MS, USA

V. J. Loland
Department of Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine,
University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

M. L. Bishop
Department of Anesthesiology,
University of California, San Diego, CA, USA

Peripheral nerve block (PNB) techniques in the ambulatory setting provide selective anesthesia and postoperative analgesia for upper extremity surgery [1, 2], and use of a block room may improve operating room (OR) efficiency compared to general anesthesia by decreasing anesthesia-controlled time (ACT) [3, 4]. Intravenous regional anesthesia (IVRA) also known as Bier block is indicated for minor surgical procedures such as carpal tunnel release [5] and typically performed in the OR; yet, PNB may have advantages over IVRA in terms of intraoperative cardiovascular stability and decreased time to achieve discharge eligibility [6]. Recently, distal PNB techniques with ultrasound have been described [7–9] which may further improve procedural efficiency [10]. The effect, if any, of preoperative PNB compared to IVRA on ACT for minor surgery is unknown. We designed this study to test the hypothesis that preoperative US-guided PNB decreases ACT compared to IVRA for outpatient carpal tunnel release.

With Institutional Review Board approval (University of California, San Diego School of Medicine; San Diego, CA, USA), primary open unilateral carpal tunnel release cases were identified from the operating room scheduling and regional anesthesia databases of a tertiary care university ambulatory surgery center for a 1 year period. Cases involving multiple surgical procedures or general anesthesia were excluded. Choice of anesthetic technique was determined by the operating room and regional anesthesiologists according to routine clinical practice; cases performed under monitored anesthesia care were divided into one of two categories depending on the regional anesthesia technique: (1) PNB; or (2) IVRA (Bier block).

Peripheral nerve block group All PNB procedures (ultrasound-guided median and/or ulnar nerve blocks) were performed preoperatively in a dedicated “block room” by senior residents or fellows under the direct one-on-one supervision of an attending regional anesthesiologist [3, 4]. After sterile skin preparation with chlorhexidine gluconate (ChlorPrep One-Step, CareFusion, Leawood, KS, USA) and local anesthetic skin infiltration with 1 % lidocaine, a 22 gauge B-bevel block needle was guided in-plane lateral to medial using a linear 6–13 MHz ultrasound transducer (HFL38, SonoSite M-Turbo, Bothell, WA, USA) to deposit 5–10 ml of plain 1.5 % mepivacaine around the median nerve visualized in short axis at the mid-forearm [7]. In similar fashion, ultrasound-guided ulnar nerve block at the mid-forearm was performed using an in-plane needle guidance technique with 5–10 ml of 1.5 % mepivacaine [7].

Bier block group For patients undergoing IVRA (Bier block), a 22 gauge angiocatheter was inserted into a peripheral vein in the distal operative extremity preoperatively in addition to an angiocatheter placed in the contralateral arm for intravenous fluid and medication administration. In the operating room, patients were positioned supine with the operative arm abducted 90° at the shoulder with the hand pronated and resting on a hand table. The operative limb was elevated with a double tourniquet applied to the upper arm; then the limb was exsanguinated with the aid of an Esmarch bandage. The distal tourniquet was inflated, followed by the proximal tourniquet to 250 or 100 mmHg over the patient’s systolic blood pressure; then the distal tourniquet was deflated. An injection of 40 ml of 0.5 % lidocaine was given via the angiocatheter into the operative extremity prior to angiocatheter removal.

ACT in minutes was the primary outcome in this study. Within one case, ACT was defined as patient in-room to induction complete (anesthesia ready) plus surgical closure to out-of-room [11]. Secondary outcomes included surgical time (surgical incision to surgical closure) in minutes, time for PNB performance in minutes when applicable; demographic and procedural information collected were limited to the databases employed.

Normality of distribution was determined using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test (NCSS-PASS Statistical Software, Kaysville, UT, USA). For continuous normally distributed data, Student’s *t* test was used for statistical analysis; the Mann–Whitney *U* test was used for data with distributions other than normal. Categorical variables were compared using the *Z* test or Barnard’s exact test ($n < 5$ for any cell). Statistical significance was accepted when $p < 0.05$.

Over the 1 year study period, 198 carpal tunnel release cases were performed. After applying exclusion criteria, 89 cases involving 73 patients met criteria for analysis. Sixteen patients had both left and right carpal tunnel surgeries performed on separate dates within the year. Forty cases employed preoperatively placed, ultrasound-guided PNB, and 49 cases employed IVRA administered in the OR. None of the cases were performed under local anesthesia alone.

Demographic and procedural data are shown in Table 1. Patients in the PNB group were, on average, older than patients in the IVRA group although ASA physical status was similar between groups (Table 1). Both ACT and surgical duration were longer for the IVRA group (Table 2). Ultrasound guided PNB procedures took a median of 10 (5–28) min to perform using 20 (9–20) ml of local anesthetic volume. There were no reported intraoperative conversions to general anesthesia or postoperative complications related to regional anesthesia procedures.

Ultrasound-guided PNB techniques performed preoperatively reduce ACT for carpal tunnel release surgery

Table 1 Patient variables

	IV regional anesthesia (<i>n</i> = 49)	Peripheral nerve blocks (<i>n</i> = 40)	<i>p</i> value
Age (years)	56 (41–71)	64 (53–81)	<0.01
Male/female (<i>n</i>)	14/35	11/29	0.91
ASA physical status	2 (2–3)	2 (2–3)	>0.99
Open/endoscopic (<i>n</i>)	47/2	37/3	0.49

Values are reported as median (10th–90th percentiles) or number of subjects (*n*), as appropriate

ASA American Society of Anesthesiologists

Table 2 Anesthesia-controlled time (ACT) and surgical duration

	IV regional anesthesia (<i>n</i> = 49)	Peripheral nerve blocks (<i>n</i> = 40)	<i>p</i> value
ACT (min)	13 (9–20)	11 (6–18)	0.02
Surgery time (min)	17 (10–29)	13 (8–21)	<0.01

Values are reported as median (10th–90th percentiles)

compared to IVRA administered in the operating room. Previous studies have shown that RA performed in a “block room” decreases ACT compared to general anesthesia for major upper extremity surgery [3, 4] through a parallel-processing model. The subsequent patient undergoes the PNB procedure while the previously scheduled patient is still in the operating room. Preoperative PNB for carpal tunnel release surgery has been described [12–15], but the present study is the first to examine the effects of preoperative PNB on ACT for minor hand surgery compared to a common intraoperative RA technique such as IVRA. The median reduction in ACT of 2 min is statistically significant, but the clinical significance is yet to be determined. Since routine practice in this outpatient surgery center includes insertion of the IVRA angiocatheter preoperatively, we speculate that a greater difference in ACT may have resulted if these additional angiocatheters were inserted in the OR instead. Turnover time, the time beginning when the previous patient leaves the OR and ending when the next patient enters the OR, is unaffected by anesthetic technique, leaving ACT as the only OR time interval contributing to OR efficiency directly influenced by choice of anesthesia [3]. Using computer modeling, Dexter and colleagues have shown that even complete elimination of ACT fails to facilitate the addition of surgical cases [16]. Therefore, decreasing ACT, and even surgical time as in the present study, is unlikely to result in more surgeries performed. However, perception of delays associated with regional anesthesia by surgeons remains a challenge [17], so perhaps modest reductions in ACT may have positive implications in systems-based practice.

The reduction in ACT in the present study depends on availability of a block room similar to the results of previous studies [3, 4], and the time required to perform PNB (median 10 min) negates any potential ACT advantage if these procedures were performed in the OR. A dedicated block room may not be appropriate or available in every practice [18], and any additional personnel required will increase costs which may or may not be offset by new revenue generated.

In the present study, surgical time is reduced by a median of 4 min when PNB is utilized compared to IVRA. It is possible that preoperative distal PNB for carpal tunnel release provides better surgical anesthesia and operating conditions, thus fewer interruptions for additional local anesthetic supplementation, than IVRA administered in the OR which has less time for local anesthetic diffusion. We can also speculate that the surgical field is less distorted with PNB since injections are performed further away from the surgical field. Unfortunately, the OR scheduling database does not include surgical case details such as need for local anesthetic supplementation by the surgeon and quality of operating conditions.

Serious complications from IVRA such as seizures from local anesthetic systemic toxicity, compartment syndrome, loss of limb, and death have been reported [19–22]. Therefore, IVRA is best performed in the hospital setting whereas distal PNB has been described for minor hand procedures including carpal tunnel release outside the OR [23, 24]. The use of IVRA is also limited by duration (<1 h) due to tourniquet pain, and it offers no postoperative analgesia [5]. The necessary minimum tourniquet time prior to cuff deflation to prevent high peak plasma levels of local anesthetic is not well-established, and waiting up to 30 min has been suggested [25], which may adversely affect OR efficiency for short cases.

Limitations of this study include the retrospective design and lack of randomization, both of which introduce potential selection bias. We suspect that this explains the difference in age between the PNB and IVRA groups since patient acuity as reflected by ASA physical status was the same. The results of the present study apply specifically to practices employing similar anesthetic and surgical techniques. Surgeons at the institution involved in this study have not routinely performed carpal tunnel release under local anesthesia although this approach is performed elsewhere [26].

In summary, based on the results of this retrospective cohort study, preoperative ultrasound-guided PNB is associated with decreased ACT and surgical time for carpal tunnel release compared to IVRA.

Acknowledgments The authors gratefully acknowledge the editorial assistance of Dr. Steven K. Howard, Director of Clinical Research, Anesthesiology and Perioperative Care Service; VA Palo Alto Health Care System.

Conflict of interest Dr. Mariano has received honoraria for educational programs conducted by SonoSite (Bothell, WA). This company had absolutely no input into any aspect of the present study conceptualization, design, and implementation; data collection, analysis and interpretation; or manuscript preparation. None of the other authors has any personal financial interests to disclose.

References

1. Klein SM, Evans H, Nielsen KC, Tucker MS, Warner DS, Steele SM. Peripheral nerve block techniques for ambulatory surgery. *Anesth Analg*. 2005;101:1663–76.
2. Klein SM, Nielsen KC, Greengrass RA, Warner DS, Martin A, Steele SM. Ambulatory discharge after long-acting peripheral nerve blockade: 2382 blocks with ropivacaine. *Anesth Analg*. 2002;94:65–70.
3. Mariano ER, Chu LF, Peinado CR, Mazzei WJ. Anesthesia-controlled time and turnover time for ambulatory upper extremity surgery performed with regional versus general anesthesia. *J Clin Anesth*. 2009;21:253–7.
4. Armstrong KP, Cherry RA. Brachial plexus anesthesia compared to general anesthesia when a block room is available. *Can J Anaesth*. 2004;51:41–4.

5. Brill S, Middleton W, Brill G, Fisher A. Bier's block; 100 years old and still going strong! *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand*. 2004;48:117–22.
6. Gebhard RE, Al-Samsam T, Greger J, Khan A, Chelly JE. Distal nerve blocks at the wrist for outpatient carpal tunnel surgery offer intraoperative cardiovascular stability and reduce discharge time. *Anesth Analg*. 2002;95:351–5.
7. McCartney CJ, Xu D, Constantinescu C, Abbas S, Chan VW. Ultrasound examination of peripheral nerves in the forearm. *Reg Anesth Pain Med*. 2007;32:434–9.
8. Gray AT, Schafhalter-Zoppoth I. Ultrasound guidance for ulnar nerve block in the forearm. *Reg Anesth Pain Med*. 2003;28:335–9.
9. Ponrouch M, Bouic N, Bringuier S, Biboulet P, Choquet O, Kassim M, Bernard N, Capdevila X. Estimation and pharmacodynamic consequences of the minimum effective anesthetic volumes for median and ulnar nerve blocks: a randomized, double-blind, controlled comparison between ultrasound and nerve stimulation guidance. *Anesth Analg*. 2010;111:1059–64.
10. Neal JM, Brull R, Chan VW, Grant SA, Horn JL, Liu SS, McCartney CJ, Narouze SN, Perlas A, Salinas FV, et al. The ASRA evidence-based medicine assessment of ultrasound-guided regional anesthesia and pain medicine: executive summary. *Reg Anesth Pain Med*. 2010;35:S1–9.
11. Mazzei WJ. Operating room start times and turnover times in a university hospital. *J Clin Anesth*. 1994;6:405–8.
12. Dilger JA, Wells RE Jr. The use of peripheral nerve blocks at the elbow for carpal tunnel release. *J Clin Anesth*. 2005;17:621–3.
13. Macaire P, Singelyn F, Narchi P, Paqueron X. Ultrasound- or nerve stimulation-guided wrist blocks for carpal tunnel release: a randomized prospective comparative study. *Reg Anesth Pain Med*. 2008;33:363–8.
14. Macaire P, Choquet O, Jochum D, Travers V, Capdevila X. Nerve blocks at the wrist for carpal tunnel release revisited: the use of sensory-nerve and motor-nerve stimulation techniques. *Reg Anesth Pain Med*. 2005;30:536–40.
15. Delaunay L, Chelly JE. Blocks at the wrist provide effective anesthesia for carpal tunnel release. *Can J Anaesth*. 2001;48:656–60.
16. Dexter F, Coffin S, Tinker JH. Decreases in anesthesia-controlled time cannot permit one additional surgical operation to be reliably scheduled during the workday. *Anesth Analg*. 1995;81:1263–8.
17. Oldman M, McCartney CJ, Leung A, Rawson R, Perlas A, Gadsden J, Chan VW. A survey of orthopedic surgeons' attitudes and knowledge regarding regional anesthesia. *Anesth Analg*. 2004;98:1486–90.
18. Mariano ER. Making it work: setting up a regional anesthesia program that provides value. *Anesthesiol Clin*. 2008;26:681–92, vi.
19. Ahmed SU, Vallejo R, Hord ED. Seizures after a Bier block with clonidine and lidocaine. *Anesth Analg*. 2004;99:593–4.
20. Ananthanarayan C, Castro C, McKee N, Sakotic G. Compartment syndrome following intravenous regional anesthesia. *Can J Anaesth*. 2000;47:1094–8.
21. Guay J. Adverse events associated with intravenous regional anesthesia (Bier block): a systematic review of complications. *J Clin Anesth*. 2009;21:585–94.
22. Luce EA, Mangubat E. Loss of hand and forearm following Bier block: a case report. *J Hand Surg Am*. 1983;8:280–3.
23. Derkash RS, Weaver JK, Berkeley ME, Dawson D. Office carpal tunnel release with wrist block and wrist tourniquet. *Orthopedics*. 1996;19:589–90.
24. Liebmann O, Price D, Mills C, Gardner R, Wang R, Wilson S, Gray A. Feasibility of forearm ultrasonography-guided nerve blocks of the radial, ulnar, and median nerves for hand procedures in the emergency department. *Ann Emerg Med*. 2006;48:558–62.
25. Eichenberger U, Stockli S, Marhofer P, Huber G, Willmann P, Kettner SC, Pleiner J, Curatolo M, Kapral S. Minimal local anesthetic volume for peripheral nerve block: a new ultrasound-guided, nerve dimension-based method. *Reg Anesth Pain Med*. 2009;34:242–6.
26. Tomaino MM, Ulizio D, Vogt MT. Carpal tunnel release under intravenous regional or local infiltration anaesthesia. *J Hand Surg Br*. 2001;26:67–8.